# Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 05396 011850Z ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00 ACDE-00 /026 W

-----028083 011851Z /43

P 011730Z JUL 77 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8802

SECRETGENEVA 5396

**EXDIS** 

USSALTTWO

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1 TAGS: PARM SUBJECT: HIGHLIGHTS, POST-PLENARY CONVERSATION, JUNE 29, 1977 (SALT TWO-1261)

1. (ROWNY/TRUSOV - BELETSKY, A-1616)

#### A. NON-CIRCUMVENTION/NON-TRANSFER

IN RESPONDING TO TRUSOV'S REQUEST FOR COMMENT ON NON-CIRCUMVENTION AND NON-TRANSFER, ROWNY REASSURED TRUSOV OF HIS CONVICTION THAT US AGREED IN PRINCIPLE THAT ANY TREATY ENTERED INTO WOULD NOT BE CIRCUMVENTED. FURTHER, ROWNY WAS CONFIDENT A PROVISION ALONG THOSE LINES WOULD PER FORCE BE A PART OF THAT TREATY. TRUSOV REPLIED THAT IF WE WERE AGREED IN PRINCIPLE WE SHOULD FIND IT EASY TO AGREE ON LANGUAGE TO REFLECT THAT AGREEMENT.

#### B. TELEMETRY

TRUSOV STATED THAT TRANSMITTING TELEMETRIC INFORMATION HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT. ROWNY REPLIED THAT IF SOVIETS BELIEVE TELEMETRY HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 05396 011850Z

THEY SHOULD DROP THEIR PROPOSAL FOR EXEMPTING IT FROM THE OBLIGATION NOT TO USE ANY MEASURE OR PRACTICE WHICH DELIBERATELY IMPEDES VERIFICATION. TRUSOV SAID THE SOVIET SIDE OBJECTS TO EQUATING TRANSMISSION OF TELEMETRY WITH DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT MEASURES. ROWNY REPLIED US HAD NEVER EQUATED THE TWO AND WAS NOT CLAIMING THAT THE TRANSMISSION OF TELEMETRIC INFORMATION WAS NECESSARILY DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT. TRUSOV SAID THAT US PREOCCUPATION WITH THIS

ISSUE WAS CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT US WAS TRYING TO HOBBLE SOVIET METHODS OF TRANSMITTING TELEMETRY IN THE FUTURE. WHEN TRUSOV PRESSED ROWNY TO GIVE EXAMPLES OF HOW TELEMETRY METHODS COULD IMPEDE VERIFICATION, ROWNY SAID THAT CITING EXAMPLES WAS NOT TO THE POINT. RATHER, THE POINT WAS A SIMPLE ONE: THERE SHOULD BE NO DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT

#### C. NUMERICAL VALUES ON THROW-WEIGHT

ROWNY RAISED THE DESIRABILITY OF AGREEING IN PRINCIPLE TO THE CONCEPT OF ESTABLISHING NUMERICAL VALUES FOR THROW-WEIGHT AND LAUNCH-WEIGHT FOR LIGHT AND HEAVY ICBMS. TRUSOV DISMISSED THE SUBJECT, SAYING THAT "ADEQUATE PROVISIONS" FOR THROW-WEIGHT AND LAUNCH-WEIGHT ARE AGREED UPON IN THE JDT AND THEREFORE NUMERICAL VALUES ARE "NOT NECESSARY"

2. (STOERTZ - BEECROFT/PAVLICHENKO, A-1618)

#### A. OVERCOUNTING

PAVLICHENKO EXPRESSED EXASPERATION AT WHAT HE SAW AS CONTINUOUS AMERICAN EMPHASIS ON "ARTIFICIAL" PROBLEMS OF DISTINGUISHABILITY AND VERIFIABILITY. HE ASSERTED THAT THE US "WANTED" TO ADD SOVIET SYSTEMS WHICH SHOULD NOT BE COUNTED, IN ORDER TO OBTAIN UNILATERAL ADVANTAGE. WHEN D&P, THE SS-X-16/SS-20 QUESTION AND "MORE THAN TENS OF OTHER SYSTEMS (I.E., BOMBER VARIANTS) WERE CONSIDERED, WE WERE SECRET

**SECRET** 

PAGE 03 GENEVA 05396 011850Z

TRYING TO OVER COUNT SOVIET SYSTEMS BY "SOME HUNDREDS" OF WEAPONS. STOERTZ EMPHASIZED THAT THE US DID NOT "WANT" TO OVERCOUNT. HE NOTED THAT ALL THE CASES THE US WAS RAISING REFLECTED REAL PROBLEMS OF DISTINGUISHABILITY AND CONVERTIBILITY. IT WAS NOT SUFFICIENT, AS PAVLICHENKO HAD SUGGESTED, FOR THE US SIMPLY TO TAKE SOVIET ASSURANCES ON FAITH. PAVLICHENKO SAID THE SOVIET SIDE SAW NO NEED FOR ANY SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS OR PROVISION OF DATA; IT HAD PROVIDED INFORMATION ON NUMBERS OF SOVIET HEAVY BOMBERS AND MIRV LAUNCHERS AT D&P WHEN IT BECAME EVIDENT THAT FURTHER PROGRESS IN NEGOTIATIONS REQUIRED IT. "BUT THERE HAS TO BE SOME LIMIT." HE SAID HE BELIEVED THE US KNEW THE WEIGHT OF THE SS-19 AND WAS NOT HANDICAPPED IN ITS MISSILE DECISIONS BY LACK OF KNOWLEDGE.

### B. DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT

PAVLICHENKO DEFENDED THE SOVIET PROPOSAL THAT NO CHANGE BE REQUIRED IN CURRENT TESTING PRACTICES UNDER XVI.3 BY SAYING THAT SOVIET TESTING PRACTICES MAY HAVE CAUSED PROBLEMS "BEFORE NOVEMBER 1974", BUT THAT CURRENT PRACTICES SHOULD RAISE NO CONCERNS ABOUT VERIFYING COMPLIANCE WITH THE VLADIVOSTOK LIMITATIONS. IT WOULD BE "POLITICALLY INTOLERABLE" FOR EITHER SIDE TO INTRODUCE NEW PRACTICES BEFORE AGREEMENT WAS REACHED. AS FOR TELEMETRY, THE

SOVIET SIDE COULD NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT ITS RELEVANCE WAS TO VERIFICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT; PERHAPS IT COULD BE DISCUSSED IN CONNECTION WITH "QUALITATIVE MEASURES" IN SALT THREE. STOERTZ OBSERVED THAT SEVERAL SUCH QUALITATIVE CONSTRAINTS HAD ALREADY BEEN AGREED TO BY THE SIDES IN SALT TWO, CITING AS EXAMPLES THE NEED TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN MIRVS AND MRVS AND THE LIMITATIONS ON THROW-WEIGHT AND LAUNCH-WEIGHT OF ICBMS.EARLE

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: SALT (ARMS CONTROL), ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 01-Jul-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 22 May 2009
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977GENEVA05396
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: X1 Errors: N/A

**Expiration:** Film Number: D770235-1083 Format: TEL From: GENEVA USSALTTWO

**Handling Restrictions:** Image Path:

ISecure: 1 Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770799/aaaadiez.tel

Line Count: 124 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: d6ee7f72-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 14-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1988091 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: HIGHLIGHTS, POST-PLENARY CONVERSATION, JUNE 29, 1977 (SALT TWO-1261)

TAGS: PARM, US, UR, (ROWNY), (TRUSOV)

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/d6ee7f72-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009